

Appl. No. : **10/726,256**
Filed : **December 2, 2003**

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The telephonic interview was conducted on September 18, 2009 and attended by Examiner Ackun and Applicants' counsel, Michael Giuliana.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

N/A

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 33 and 74.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

United States Patent No. 6,311,843 issued to Smith.

Proposed Amendments

None

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' counsel pointed out that the subject matter recited in Claims 33 and 74 was invented prior to the effective date of the Smith reference and that the prior Declarations under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 support Applicants' position in that regard. The Examiner indicated that said Declarations do not show every feature of the claim was invented before the effective date of the Smith reference.

In response, Applicants' counsel pointed out that they do not intend and did not in fact represent that the exhibits submitted with the above noted Declaration illustrate all of the features of the embodiment of Figure 8 of the present Application. Rather, the Declaration and the exhibits submitted therewith provide *corroboration* to the express statements of all of the inventors of the present application, submitted under the penalty of perjury, that the embodiment of Figure 8 of the present Application was invented before the effective date of the Smith reference.

Appl. No. : **10/726,256**
Filed : **December 2, 2003**

Results of Interview

No agreement was reached.